arXiv:1206.0913v3 [math.FA] 28 Aug 2012

UNIFORM FAMILIES OF ERGODIC OPERATOR NETS

MARCO SCHREIBER

Abstract. We study mean ergodicity in amenable operator semigroups and establish the connection to the convergence of strong and weak ergodic nets. We then use these results in order to show the convergence of uniform families of ergodic nets that appear in topological Wiener-Wintner theorems.

The classical mean ergodic theorem (see [10, Chapter 2.1]) is concerned with the convergence of the Cesàro means $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n$ for some power bounded operator S on a Banach space X. The natural extension of the Cesàro means for representations $\mathcal{S}$ of general semigroups is the notion of an *ergodic net* as introduced by Eberlein [7] and Sato [18]. In the first part of this paper we discuss and slightly modify this concept in order to adapt it better for the study of operator semigroups. Sato showed in [18] that in amenable semigroups there always exist weak ergodic nets. We extend this result and show that even strong ergodic nets exist. Using this fact we then state a mean ergodic theorem connecting the convergence of strong and weak ergodic nets and the existence of a zero element in the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{S}$.

In the second part we develop the adequate framework for investigating uniform convergence in so-called topological Wiener-Wintner theorems. In the simplest situation these theorems deal with the convergence of averages

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n S^n$$

for some operator S on spaces $C(K)$ and λ in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Assani [2] and Robinson [16] asked when this convergence is uniform in $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Subsequently, their results have been generalised in different ways by Walters [19], Santos and Walkden [17] and Lenz [11, 12]. We propose and study *uniform families of ergodic nets* as an appropriate concept for unifying and generalizing these and other results.

1. Amenable and mean ergodic operator semigroups

We start from a semitopological semigroup G and refer to Berglund et al. [3, Chapter 1.3] for an introduction to this theory. Let X be a Banach space and denote by $\mathscr{L}(X)$ the set of bounded linear operators on X. We further assume that $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of G on X, i.e.,

(i) $S_g \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ for all $g \in G$ and $\sup_{g\in G} \|S_g\| < \infty$,

(ii) $S_g S_h = S_{hg}$ for all $g, h \in G$,

Date: March 6, 2013.

Key words and phrases. amenable semigroups, mean ergodic theorem, topological Wiener-Wintner theorems.

(iii) $g \mapsto S_g x$ is continuous for all $x \in X$.

For a bounded representation $\mathcal{S}$ we denote by $\operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$ its convex hull and by $\overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}$ the closure with respect to the strong operator topology. Notice that $\mathcal{S}$ as well as $\operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}$ are topological semigroups with respect to the strong and semitopological semigroups with respect to the weak operator topology.

A *mean* on the space $C_b(G)$ of bounded continuous functions on G is a linear functional $m \in C_b(G)'$ satisfying $\langle m, \mathbb{1}\rangle = \|m\| = 1$.

A mean $m \in C_b(G)'$ is called *right (left) invariant* if

$$\langle m, R_g f\rangle = \langle m, f\rangle \quad (\langle m, L_g f\rangle = \langle m, f\rangle) \quad \forall g \in G, f \in C_b(G),$$

where $R_g f(h) = f(hg)$ and $L_g f(h) = f(gh)$ for $h \in G$.

A mean $m \in C_b(G)'$ is called *invariant* if it is both right and left invariant.

The semigroup G is called *right (left) amenable* if there exists a right (left) invariant mean on $C_b(G)$. It is called *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $C_b(G)$ (see Berglund et al. [3, Chapter 2.3] or the survey article of Day [5]).

For simplicity, we shall restrict ourselves to right amenable and amenable semigroups, although most of the results also hold for left amenable semigroups.

Notice that if $\mathcal{S} := \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of a (right) amenable semigroup G on X, then $\mathcal{S}$ endowed with the strong as well as the weak operator topology is also (right) amenable. Indeed, if $\tilde{m} \in C_b(G)'$ is a (right) invariant mean on $C_b(G)$, then $m \in C_b(\mathcal{S})'$ given by

$$\langle m, f\rangle := \langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{f}\rangle \quad (f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}))$$

defines a (right) invariant mean on $C_b(\mathcal{S})$, where $\tilde{f}(g) = f(S_g)$.

In the following, the space $\mathscr{L}(X)$ will be endowed with the strong operator topology unless stated otherwise.

Definition 1.1. A net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of operators in $\mathscr{L}(X)$ is called a *strong right (left) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net* if the following conditions hold.

(1) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is *strongly right (left) asymptotically invariant*, i.e.,

$\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} S_g x = 0$ $\left(\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x - S_g A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x = 0\right)$ for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$.

The net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is called a *weak right (left) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net* if $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is *weakly right (left) asymptotically invariant*, i.e., if the limit in (2) is taken with respect to the weak topology $\sigma(X, X')$ on X. The net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is called a *strong (weak) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net* if it is a strong (weak) right and left $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

We note that our definition differs slightly from that of Eberlein [7], Sato [18] and Krengel [10, Chapter 2.2]. Instead of condition (1) they require only

(1') $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}x$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in X$.

However, the existence of (even strong) ergodic nets in the sense of Definition 1.1 is ensured by Corollary 1.5. Moreover, both definitions lead to the same convergence results (see Theorem 1.7 below). The reason is that if the limit $Px := \lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x$ exists for all $x \in X$, then the operator P satisfies $P \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}$ rather than only $Px \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x$ for all $x \in X$ (see Nagel [14, Theorem 1.2]).

Here are some typical examples of ergodic nets.

Examples 1.2. (a) Let $S \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ with $\|S\| \leq 1$ and consider the representation $\mathcal{S} = \{S^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ on X. Then the *Cesàro means* $(A_N^{\mathcal{S}})_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$A_N^{\mathcal{S}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n$$

form a strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

(b) In the situation of (a), the *Abel means* $(A_r^{\mathcal{S}})_{0<r<1}$ given by

$$A_r^{\mathcal{S}} := (1-r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n S^n$$

form a strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

(c) Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{R}_+, +)$ being represented on X by a bounded C_0-semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Then $(A_s^{\mathcal{S}})_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ given by

$$A_s^{\mathcal{S}} x := \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s S(t)x \, dt \quad (x \in X)$$

is a strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

(d) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ be a bounded representation on X of an abelian semigroup G. Order the elements of $\mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{S}$ by setting $U \leq V$ if there exists $W \in \mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{S}$ such that $V = WU$. Then $(A_U^{\mathcal{S}})_{U \in \mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{S}}$ given by

$$A_U^{\mathcal{S}} := U$$

is a strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

(e) Let H be a locally compact group with left Haar measure $|\cdot|$ and let $G \subset H$ be a subsemigroup. Suppose that there exists a *Følner net* $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ in G (see [15, Chapter 4]), i.e., a net of compact sets such that $|F_\alpha| > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\lim_\alpha \frac{|gF_\alpha \Delta F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|} = 0 \quad \forall g \in G,$$

where $A \Delta B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ denotes the symmetric difference of two sets A and B. Suppose that $\mathcal{S} := \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of G on X. Then $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ given by

$$A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x := \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} S_g x \, dg \ \ (x \in X)$$

is a strong right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

If G is a right amenable group in the situation of Example 1.2 (e), then there always exists a Følner net $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ in G (see [15, Theorem 4.10]). Hence, in right amenable groups there always exist strong right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic nets for each representation $\mathcal{S}$. In [18, Proposition 1], Sato showed the existence of weak right (left) ergodic nets in right (left) amenable operator semigroups. We give a proof for the case of an amenable semigroup. The one-sided case is analogous.

Proposition 1.3. *Let G be represented on X by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. Then there exists a weak (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net in $\mathscr{L}(X)$.*

Proof. Let $m \in C_b(\mathcal{S})'$ be an invariant mean. Denote by B the closed unit ball of $C_b(\mathcal{S})'$ and by $\operatorname{ex} B$ the set of extremal points of B. Since m is a mean, we have $m \in B = \overline{\operatorname{co}}\operatorname{ex} B$ by the Krein-Milman theorem, where the closure is taken with respect to the weak*-topology. Since $\operatorname{ex} B = \{\delta_{S_g} : g \in G\}$, this implies that there exists a net $(\sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\delta_{S_{g_i}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \subset \operatorname{co}\{\delta_{S_g} : g \in G\}$ with $\lim_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\delta_{S_{g_i}} = m$ in the weak*-topology. Since m is invariant, we obtain

$$\lim_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\delta_{S_{g_i}}(f - R_{S_g}f) = \lim_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\delta_{S_{g_i}}(f - L_{S_g}f) = 0 \quad \forall g \in G, f \in C_b(\mathcal{S}).$$

Define the net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ by $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} := \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}S_{g_i} \in \operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. To see that $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ is weakly asymptotically invariant, let $x \in X$ and $x' \in X'$ and define $f_{x,x'} \in C_b(\mathcal{S})$ by $f_{x,x'}(S_g) := \langle S_g x, x'\rangle$ for $g \in G$. Then for all $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}S_g x, x'\right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\left(\langle S_{g_i}x, x'\rangle - \langle S_{g_i}S_g x, x'\rangle\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}(f_{x,x'}(S_{g_i}) - R_{S_g}f_{x,x'}(S_{g_i}))\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{i,\alpha}\delta_{S_{g_i}}(f_{x,x'} - R_{S_g}f_{x,x'}) \longrightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\langle A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x - S_g A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x, x'\right\rangle \longrightarrow 0$$

analogously. Hence $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a weak $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. □

We now show that the existence of weak ergodic nets actually implies the existence of strong ergodic nets.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be represented on X by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *There exists a weak (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.*
2. *There exists a strong (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.*

Proof. We give a proof for the case of an $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. The one-sided case can be shown in a similar way.

(1)⇒(2): Consider the locally convex space $E := \prod_{(g,x)\in G\times X} X\times X$ endowed with the product topology, where $X \times X$ carries the product (norm-)topology. Define the linear map

$$\Phi : \mathscr{L}(X) \to E, \quad \Phi(T) = (TS_g x - Tx, S_g T x - Tx)_{(g,x)\in G\times X}.$$

By 17.13(iii) in [9] the weak topology $\sigma(E, E')$ on the product E coincides with the product of the weak topologies of the coordinate spaces. Hence, if $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a weak $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net on X, then $\Phi(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}) \to 0$ with respect to the weak topology on E and thus $0 \in \overline{\Phi(\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S})}^{\sigma(E,E')}$. Since the weak and strong closure coincide on the convex set $\Phi(\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S})$, there exists a net $(B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}})_{\beta\in\mathcal{B}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}$ with $\Phi(B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}}) \to 0$ in the topology of E. By the definition of this topology this means $\|B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}} S_g x - B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}} x\| \to 0$ and $\|S_g B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}} x - B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}} x\| \to 0$ for all $(g, x) \in G \times X$ and hence $(B_\beta^{\mathcal{S}})_{\beta\in\mathcal{B}}$ is a strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.

(2)⇒(1) is clear. □

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be represented on X by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. Then there exists a strong (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net.*

If ergodic nets converge we are led to the concept of *mean ergodicity*. We use the following abstract notion.

Definition 1.6. The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is called *mean ergodic* if $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}$ contains a zero element P (cf. [3, Chapter 1.1]), called the *mean ergodic projection of* $\mathcal{S}$.

Notice that for P being a zero element of $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}$ it suffices that $PS_g = S_g P = P$ for all $g \in G$.

Nagel [14] and Sato [18] studied such semigroups and their results are summarized in Krengel [10, Chapter 2].

In the next theorem we collect a series of properties equivalent to mean ergodicity. Most of them can be found in Krengel [10, Chapter 2, Theorem 1.9], but we give a proof for completeness.

Denote the fixed spaces of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ by $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \{x \in X : S_g x = x\ \forall g \in G\}$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \{x' \in X' : S'_g x' = x'\ \forall g \in G\}$ respectively and the linear span of the set $\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S}) = \{x - S_g x : x \in X, g \in G\}$ by $\operatorname{lin}\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S})$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be represented on X by a bounded right amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic with mean ergodic projection P.*
2. *$\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$.*
3. *$\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$.*
4. *$X = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S})$.*
5. *$A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} x$ has a weak cluster point in $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ for some/every weak right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ and all $x \in X$.*

(6) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$ *for some/every weak right* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$*.*

(7) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$ *for some/every strong right* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$*.*

(8) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges strongly to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$ *for some/every strong right* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$*.*

The limit P *of the nets* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *in the weak and strong operator topology, respectively, is the mean ergodic projection of* $\mathcal{S}$ *mapping* X *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$*.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): Since $S_gP = P$ for all $g \in G$, we have $Px \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in X$.

(2)⇒(3): Let $0 \neq x' \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$. Take $x \in X$ such that $\langle x', x\rangle \neq 0$. If $y \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ then we have $\langle x', y\rangle = \langle x', x\rangle \neq 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$.

(3)⇒(4): Let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ be a weak right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net and let $x' \in X'$ vanish on $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$. Then in particular $\langle x', y\rangle = \langle x', S_g y\rangle = \langle S_g' x', y\rangle$ for all $y \in X$ and $g \in G$. Hence $x' \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ and x' vanishes on $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$, this implies $x' = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$ is dense in X by the Hahn-Banach theorem and it remains to show that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$ is closed. For $D := \{x \in X : \sigma\text{-}\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x \text{ exists}\}$ we obtain $D = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$ and D is closed since $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is uniformly bounded.

(4)⇒(6): Let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ be any weak right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. Then $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ converges weakly to a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in X$. Indeed, the convergence on $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ is clear and the weak convergence to 0 on $\operatorname{lin}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$ follows from weak right asymptotic invariance and linearity of $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$. Since the set $\{x \in X : \sigma\text{-}\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x \text{ exists}\}$ is closed we obtain weak convergence on all of $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$. The limit of the net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is the projection onto $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ along $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$.

(4)⇒(8): An analogous reasoning as in (4)⇒(6) yields the strong convergence of $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ for every strong right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ and every $x \in X$.

(5)⇒(2): Let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ be a weak right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net, take $x \in X$ and define Px as the weak limit of a convergent subnet $(A_{\beta_x}^{\mathcal{S}}x)$ of $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x)$. Then $Px \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ for all $x \in X$.

(6)⇒(1): Let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ be a weak right $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. Defining Px as the weak limit of $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ for each $x \in X$ we obtain $Px \in \overline{\operatorname{co}}\mathcal{S}x$ for all $x \in X$. Furthermore, for all $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ we have $Px - PS_gx = \sigma\text{-}\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}S_gx = 0$ and $Px - S_gPx$ since $Px \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$. Hence $S_gP = PS_g = P$ for all $g \in G$ and [14, Theorem 1.2] yield the mean ergodicity of $\mathcal{S}$.

The remaining implications are trivial. □

If the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is amenable and $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is a convergent $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net, then the limit $Px := \lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ is automatically a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$ for each $x \in X$, since $S_gPx - Px = \lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x - S_gA_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x = 0$ for each $g \in G$ by the asymptotic left invariance. Hence the following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.7.

Corollary 1.8. *Let* G *be represented on* X *by a bounded amenable semigroup* $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$*. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic with mean ergodic projection* P*.*

(2) $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ *for all* $x \in X$.

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$.

(4) $X = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\mathrm{lin}}\,\mathrm{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$.

(5) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *has a weak cluster point for some/every weak* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$.

(6) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly for some/every weak* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$.

(7) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly for some/every strong* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$.

(8) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges strongly for some/every strong* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $x \in X$.

The limit P *of the nets* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ *in the weak and strong operator topology, respectively, is the mean ergodic projection of* $\mathcal{S}$ *mapping* X *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\overline{\mathrm{lin}}\,\mathrm{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$.

The next result can be found in Nagel [14, Satz 1.8] (see also Ghaffari [8, Theorem 1]). We give a different proof.

Corollary 1.9. *Let* G *be represented on* X *by a bounded amenable semigroup* $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. *If* $\mathcal{S}$ *is relatively compact with respect to the weak operator topology, then* $\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}x$ is relatively weakly compact, we obtain that $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x$ is weakly compact for all $x \in X$ by the Krein-Šmulian Theorem. Hence, if $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is a weak $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net, then $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ has a weak cluster point for each $x \in X$. The mean ergodicity of $\mathcal{S}$ then follows from Corollary 1.8. ☐

If the Banach space satisfies additional geometric properties, contractivity of the semigroup implies amenability and mean ergodicity. For uniformly convex spaces with strictly convex dual unit balls this has been shown by Alaoglu and Birkhoff [1, Theorem 6] using the so-called *minimal method.* In [4, Theorem 6'] Day observed that the same method still works if uniform convexity is replaced by strict convexity.

Corollary 1.10. *Let* X *be a reflexive Banach space such that the unit balls of* X *and* X' *are strictly convex. If the semigroup* G *is represented on* X *by a semigroup of contractions* $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$, *then* $\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a contractive semigroup in $\mathscr{L}(X)$ and the unit balls of X and of X' are strictly convex, then $\mathcal{S}$ is amenable by [6, Corollary 4.14]. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded on the reflexive space X, it follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is relatively compact with respect to the weak operator topology. Hence Corollary 1.9 implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic. ☐

In some situations (see e.g. Assani [2, Theorem 2.10], Walters [19, Theorem 4], Lenz [12, Theorem 1]) one is interested in convergence of an ergodic net only on some given $x \in X$. Apart from the implication (2)⇒(3) the following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8 applied to the restriction $\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ to the closed $\mathcal{S}$-invariant subspace $Y_x := \overline{\mathrm{lin}}\mathcal{S}x$.

Proposition 1.11. *Let* G *be represented on* X *by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup* $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ *and let* $x \in X$. *Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic on* Y_x *with mean ergodic projection* P.

(2) $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$.

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|'_{Y_x}$.

(4) $x \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\mathrm{lin}}\,\mathrm{rg}(I-\mathcal{S})$.

(5) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *has a weak cluster point (in* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every weak (right)* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$.

(6) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly (to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every weak (right)* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$.

(7) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges weakly (to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$.

(8) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}x$ *converges strongly (to a fixed point of* $\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$.

The limit P *of the nets* $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}$ *in the weak and strong operator topology on* Y_x*, respectively, is the mean ergodic projection of* $\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x}$ *mapping* Y_x *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x}$ *along* $\overline{\mathrm{lin}}\,\mathrm{rg}(I-\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x})$.

Proof. (2)⇒(3): Let $0 \neq x' \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|'_{Y_x}$ and take $y \in Y_x$ such that $\langle x', y\rangle \neq 0$. Since x generates the space Y_x this yields $\langle x', x\rangle \neq 0$. If $z \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}x \cap \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$, then $z \in Y_x$ and we have $\langle x', z\rangle = \langle x', x\rangle \neq 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|_{Y_x}$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}|'_{Y_x}$.

The other implications follow directly from Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8 by noticing that the set $\{y \in X : \lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}}y \text{ exists}\}$ is a closed $\mathcal{S}$-invariant subspace of X. □

2. UNIFORM FAMILIES OF ERGODIC OPERATOR NETS

We now use the above results on mean ergodic semigroups in order to study the convergence of uniform families of ergodic nets.

Let I be an index set and suppose that the semigroup G is represented on X by bounded semigroups $\mathcal{S}_i = \{S_{i,g} : g \in G\}$ for each $i \in I$. Moreover, we assume that the $\mathcal{S}_i$ are *uniformly bounded*, i.e., $\sup_{i\in I}\sup_{g\in G}\|S_{i,g}\| < \infty$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed set and let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathscr{L}(X)$ be a net of operators for each $i \in I$. Then $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a *uniform family of right (left) ergodic nets* if

(1) $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall x_1, \dots, x_m \in X, \exists g_1, \dots, g_N \in G$ such that for each $i \in I$ there exists a convex combination $\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j}S_{i,g_j} \in \mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{S}_i$ satisfying

$$\sup_{i\in I}\|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}x_k - \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j}S_{i,g_j}x_k\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1,\dots,m\};$$

(2) $$\lim_\alpha \sup_{i\in I}\|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}S_{i,g}x\| = 0 \quad \left(\lim_\alpha \sup_{i\in I}\|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}x - S_{i,g}A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}x\| = 0\right) \quad \forall g \in G, x \in X.$$

The set $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is called a *uniform family of ergodic nets* if it is a uniform family of left and right ergodic nets.

Notice that if $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a uniform family of (right) ergodic nets, then each $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a strong (right) $\mathcal{S}_i$-ergodic net.

Here are some examples of uniform families of ergodic nets.

Proposition 2.2. *(a) Let $S \in \mathscr{L}(X)$ with $\|S\| \leq 1$. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ being represented on X by the families $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \{(\lambda S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Then*

$$\left\{ \left(\tfrac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\lambda S)^n \right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} : \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

is a uniform family of ergodic nets.

(b) In the situation of (a),

$$\{((1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \lambda^n S^n)_{0<r<1} : \lambda \in \mathbb{T}\}$$

is a uniform family of ergodic nets.

(c) Let K be a compact space and $\varphi : K \to K$ a continuous transformation. Let H be a Hilbert space and $S : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ the Koopman operator corresponding to φ on the space $C(K, H)$ of continuous H-valued functions on K. Denote by $U(H)$ the set of unitary operators on H and by Λ the set of continuous maps $\gamma : K \to U(H)$. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and its representations on $C(K, H)$ given by the families $\mathcal{S}_\gamma = \{(\gamma S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for $\gamma \in \Lambda$, where $(\gamma S)f(x) = \gamma(x)Sf(x)$ for $x \in K$ and $f \in C(K, H)$. Then

$$\left\{ \left(\tfrac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\gamma S)^n \right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} : \gamma \in \Lambda \right\}$$

is a uniform family of ergodic nets.

(d) Let $(S(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}$ be a bounded C_0-semigroup on X. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{R}_+, +)$ being represented on X by the families $\mathcal{S}_r = \{e^{2\pi irt}S(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ for $r \in B$, where $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then

$$\left\{ \left(\tfrac{1}{s} \int_0^s e^{2\pi irt} S(t)\, dt \right)_{s\in\mathbb{R}_+} : r \in B \right\}$$

is a uniform family of ergodic nets.

(e) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ be a bounded representation on X of an abelian semigroup G. Order the elements of $\operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$ by setting $U \leq V$ if there exists $W \in \operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$ such that $V = WU$. Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the character semigroup of G, i.e., the set of continuous multiplicative maps $G \to \mathbb{T}$, and consider the representations $\mathcal{S}_\chi = \{\chi(g)S_g : g \in G\}$ of G on X for $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. Then

$$\left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^N c_i \chi(g_i) S_{g_i} \right)_{\sum_{i=1}^N c_i S_{g_i} \in \operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}} : \chi \in \widehat{G} \right\}$$

is a uniform family of ergodic nets.

(f) Let H be a locally compact group with left Haar measure $|\cdot|$ and let $G \subset H$ be a subsemigroup. Suppose that there exists a Følner net *$(F_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ in G. Suppose that $\mathcal{S} := \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of G on X. Consider the representations $\mathcal{S}_\chi = \{\chi(g)S_g : g \in G\}$ of G on X for $\chi \in \Lambda$, where $\Lambda \subset \widehat{H}$ is uniformly equicontinuous on compact sets. Then*

$$\left\{ \left(\tfrac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \chi(g) S_g \, dg \right)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \chi \in \Lambda \right\}$$

is a uniform family of right ergodic nets.

Proof. (a) Property (1) of Definition 2.1 is clear. To see (2), let $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \left\| \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\lambda S)^n x - (\lambda S)^{n+k} x \right\| \le \sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \|(\lambda S)^n x\| + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=N}^{N-1+k} \|(\lambda S)^n x\|$$
$$\le \frac{2k}{N}\|x\| \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{} 0.$$

(b) (1): Let $0 < r < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r^N < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\|(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \lambda^n S^n - \frac{(1-r)}{(1-r^N)}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r^n\lambda^n S^n\|$$
$$\le \left\|(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n\lambda^n S^n - (1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r^n\lambda^n S^n\right\| + \left\|(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r^n\lambda^n S^n - \frac{(1-r)}{(1-r^N)}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r^n\lambda^n S^n\right\|$$
$$\le (1-r)\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} r^n + (1-r)\left|1-\frac{1}{(1-r^N)}\right|\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} r^n$$
$$\le r^N + r^N < \varepsilon.$$

(2): Let $x \in X$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ the sequence $x^{(\lambda)}$ by $x_n^{(\lambda)} := (\lambda S)^n x - (\lambda S)^{n+k} x$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows from (a) that $\sup_\lambda \|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n^{(\lambda)}\| \longrightarrow 0$. It is well known that Cesàro convergence implies the convergence of the Abel means to the same limit (see [13, Proposition 2.3]). One checks that if the Cesàro convergence is uniform in $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, then the convergence of the Abel means is also uniform. Hence we obtain $\lim_{r\uparrow 1}\sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \|(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n x_n^{(\lambda)}\| = 0$.

(c) (1) is clear. To see (2) let $f \in C(K,H)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\|(\gamma S)f\| = \sup_{x\in K}\|\gamma(x) f(\varphi(x))\|_H = \sup_{x\in K}\|f(\varphi(x))\|_H \le \|f\|,$$

since $\gamma(x)$ is unitary for all $x \in K$. Hence

$$\sup_{\gamma\in\Lambda} \left\| \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\gamma S)^n f - (\gamma S)^{n+k} f \right\| \le \sup_{\gamma\in\Lambda} \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \|(\gamma S)^n f\| + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=N}^{N-1+k} \|(\gamma S)^n f\|$$
$$\le \frac{2k}{N}\|f\| \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{} 0.$$

(d) This is a special case of (f) for the Følner net $([0,s])_{s>0}$ in $\mathbb{R}_+$ and the set $\Lambda := \{\chi_r : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{T} : r \in B\}$, where $\chi_r(t) = e^{2\pi i r t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Notice that $\Lambda \subset \widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is uniformly equicontinuous on compact sets since B is bounded.

(e) (1) is clear. To see (2) let $x \in X$, $g \in G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{2M^2\|x\|}{N} < \varepsilon$, where $M = \sup_{g\in G}\|S_g\|$. Then for all $V = W\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_g^n \geq \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S_g^n$, where $W = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i S_{g_i} \in \operatorname{co}\mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}&\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{N}c_i\chi(g_ig^n)S_{g_ig^n}x - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\frac{1}{N}c_i\chi(g_ig^n)S_{g_ig^n}\chi(g)S_gx\right\|\\ &\leq \sup_{\chi\in\widehat{G}}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}c_i\chi(g_i)S_{g_i}\right\|\cdot\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(\chi(g)S_g)^n(x-\chi(g)S_gx)\right\|\\ &\leq \sup_{\chi\in\widehat{G}} M\frac{1}{N}\|x-(\chi(g)S_g)^Nx\| \leq M\frac{1}{N}2M\|x\| < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

(f) (1): Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1,\dots,x_m \in X$. Since F_α is compact and Λ is uniformly equicontinuous on F_α the family $\{g \mapsto \chi(g)S_gx_k : \chi \in \Lambda\}$ is also uniformly equicontinuous on F_α for each $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$. Hence for each $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ we can choose an open neighbourhood U_k of the unity of H satisfying

$$g,h\in G,\ \ h^{-1}g\in U_k \ \ \Rightarrow\ \sup_{\chi\in\Lambda}\|\chi(g)S_gx_k-\chi(h)S_gx_k\|<\varepsilon.$$

Then $U := \bigcap_{k=1}^m U_k$ is still an open neighbourhood of unity. Since F_α is compact there exists $g_1,\dots,g_N \in F_\alpha$ such that $F_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N g_nU$. Defining $V_1 := g_1U \cap F_\alpha$ and $V_n := (g_nU \cap F_\alpha)\setminus V_{n-1}$ for $n = 2,\dots,N$ we obtain a disjoint union $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^N V_n$. Hence for all $\chi \in \Lambda$ and $k \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Bigg\|\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha}\chi(g)S_gx_kdg-&\sum_{n=1}^N\frac{|V_n|}{|F_\alpha|}\chi(g_n)S_{g_n}x_k\Bigg\|\\ &\leq \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\sum_{n=1}^N\int_{V_n}\underbrace{\|\chi(g)S_gx_k-\chi(g_n)S_{g_n}x_k\|}_{<\varepsilon}dg\\ &< \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\sum_{n=1}^N|V_n|\varepsilon=\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

(2): If $x \in X$ and $h \in G$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\chi\in\Lambda}\left\|\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha}\chi(g)S_gx-\chi(hg)S_{hg}x\,dg\right\| &\leq \sup_{\chi\in\Lambda}\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha\triangle hF_\alpha}\|\chi(g)S_gx\|\,dg\\ &\leq \frac{|F_\alpha\triangle hF_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|}\sup_{g\in G}\|S_g\|\|x\| \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now, let $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ be a uniform family of right ergodic nets. If $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{S}_i$ is right amenable and mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\mathcal{S}_ix$ for each $i \in I$, then it follows from Proposition 1.11 that $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i}x \to P_ix$ for each $i \in I$, where P_i denotes the mean ergodic projection of $\mathcal{S}_i|_{\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\mathcal{S}_ix}$. The question arises, when this convergence is uniform in $i \in I$. The following elementary example shows that in general this cannot be expected.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ and let $S = I_{\mathbb{C}} \in L(\mathbb{C})$ be the identity operator on $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ being represented on $\mathbb{C}$ by the families $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \{\lambda^n I_{\mathbb{C}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Then for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ the Cesàro means $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n$ converge, but the convergence is not uniform in $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

However, the following theorem gives a sufficient condition for uniform convergence.

Theorem 2.4. *Let I be a compact set and let G be represented on X by the uniformly bounded right amenable semigroups $\mathcal{S}_i = \{S_{i,g} : g \in G\}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ be a uniform family of right ergodic nets. Take $x \in X$ and assume that*

(a) $\mathcal{S}_i$ is mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\mathcal{S}_i x$ with mean ergodic projection P_i for each $i \in I$,

(b) $I \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $i \mapsto \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - P_i x\|$ is continuous for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then

$$\lim_\alpha \sup_{i \in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - P_i x\| = 0.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.4 we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a uniform family of right ergodic nets, then*

$$\lim_\alpha \sup_{i \in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_i} x\| = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X.$$

Proof. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$. Since $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a uniform family of right ergodic nets, there exists $g_1, \dots, g_N \in G$ and for each $i \in I$ a convex combination $\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} \in \operatorname{co} \mathcal{S}_i$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} \|A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - \sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} x\| < \varepsilon / M$, where $M = \sup_{i \in I} \sup_{g \in G} \|S_{i,g}\|$. Now, choose $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$

$$\sup_{i \in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} S_{i,g_j} x\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and $i \in I$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_i} x\| &\le \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} x\| + \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_i} x\| \\
&\le \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} S_{i,g_j} x\| + M \|\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} x - A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_i} x\| \\
&< 2\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Proposition 1.11 and the hypotheses the function $f_\alpha : I \to \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $f_\alpha(i) = \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} x - P_i x\|$ is continuous for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lim_\alpha f_\alpha(i) = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Compactness and continuity yield a net $(i_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \subset I$ with $\sup_{i \in I} f_\alpha(i) = f_\alpha(i_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. To show that $\lim_\alpha \sup_{i \in I} f_\alpha(i) = 0$ it thus suffices to show that every subnet of $(f_\alpha(i_\alpha))$ has a subnet converging to 0. Let $(f_{\alpha_k}(i_{\alpha_k}))$ be a subnet of $(f_\alpha(i_\alpha))$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since I is compact, we can choose a subnet of (i_{α_k}), also denoted by (i_{α_k}), such that $i_{\alpha_k} \to i_0$ for some $i_0 \in I$. Since f_α converges pointwise to 0, we can take $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_\beta(i_0) < \varepsilon / M$, where

$M = \sup_{i\in I} \sup_{g\in G} \|S_{i,g}\|$. By continuity of f_β there exists k_1 such that for all $k > k_1$ we have $f_\beta(i_{\alpha_k}) - f_\beta(i_0) < \varepsilon/M$. By Lemma 2.5 there exists $k_2 > k_1$ such that for all $k > k_2$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha_k}(i_{\alpha_k}) &\le \|A_{\alpha_k}^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} x - A_{\alpha_k}^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} x\| + \|A_{\alpha_k}^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} x - A_{\alpha_k}^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} P_{i_{\alpha_k}} x\| \\ &\le \varepsilon + M\|A_\beta^{\mathcal{S}_{i_{\alpha_k}}} x - P_{i_{\alpha_k}} x\| \\ &\le \varepsilon + M(f_\beta(i_{\alpha_k}) - f_\beta(i_0)) + M f_\beta(i_0) \\ &\le 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_\alpha \sup_{i\in I} f_\alpha(i) = 0$. □

We now apply the above theory to operator semigroups on the space $C(K)$ of complex valued continuous functions on a compact metric space K.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\varphi : K \to K$ be a continuous map, $S : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ the corresponding Koopman operator on $C(K)$, and assume that there exists a unique φ-invariant Borel probability measure μ on K. If $f \in C(K)$ satisfies $P_\lambda f = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, where P_λ denotes the mean ergodic projection of $\{(\lambda S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on $L^2(K, \mu)$, then*

(1) $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \sup\limits_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_N|} \sum_{n\in F_N} \lambda^n S^n f \right\|_\infty = 0$ *for each Følner sequence $(F_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{N}$,*

(2) $\lim\limits_{r\uparrow 1} \sup\limits_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \|(1-r)\sum_{n=0}^\infty r^n \lambda^n S^n f\|_\infty = 0.$

Proof. By the hypotheses it follows from Robinson [16, Theorem 1.1] and Proposition 1.11 that the semigroups $\mathcal{S}_\lambda = \{(\lambda S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\mathcal{S}_\lambda f \subset C(K)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. Let now $(F_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ and consider the uniform family of ergodic nets

$$\left\{ \left(\tfrac{1}{|F_N|} \textstyle\sum_{n\in F_N} \lambda^n S^n \right)_{N\in\mathbb{N}} : \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

(cf. Proposition 2.2 (f)). If $P_\lambda f = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, then also condition (b) in Theorem 2.4 is satisfied since the map $\lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{|F_N|}\sum_{n\in F_N} \lambda^n S^n f$ is continuous for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{T}} \|\frac{1}{|F_N|}\sum_{n\in F_N} \lambda^n S^n f\|_\infty = 0$ by Theorem 2.4.

The same reasoning applied to the uniform family of ergodic nets

$$\left\{ ((1-r)\textstyle\sum_{n=0}^\infty r^n \lambda^n S^n)_{0<r<1} : \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

yields the second assertion. □

Remark 2.7. In [2, Theorem 2.10] Assani proved the first assertion of Corollary 2.6 for the Følner sequence $F_N = \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Generalisations of this result can be found in Walters [19, Theorem 5], Santos and Walkden [17, Prop. 4.3] and Lenz [12, Theorem 2]. We will systematically study and unify these cases in a subsequent paper.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Rainer Nagel for his support, valuable discussions and comments.

References

1. L. Alaoglu and G. Birkhoff, *General ergodic theorems*, Ann. of Math. (2), 41 (1940), pp. 293–309.
2. I. Assani, *Wiener Wintner Ergodic Theorems*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
3. J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn, and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1989.
4. M. M. Day, *Reflexive Banach spaces not isomorphic to uniformly convex spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1941), pp. 313–317.
5. M. M. Day, *Semigroups and amenability*, in Semigroups (Proc. Sympos., Wayne State Univ., Detroit, Mich., 1968), Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 5–53.
6. K. de Leeuw and I. Glicksberg, *Applications of almost periodic compactifications*, Acta Math. 105 (1961), pp. 63–97.
7. W. F. Eberlein, *Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), pp. 217–240.
8. A. Ghaffari, *Ergodic theory of amenable semigroup actions*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 117 (2007), pp. 177–183.
9. J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, *Linear Topological Spaces*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J., 1963.
10. U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, vol. 6 of de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985.
11. D. Lenz, *Aperiodic order via dynamical systems: diffraction for sets of finite local complexity*, in Ergodic Theory, vol. 485 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 91–112.
12. ———, *Continuity of eigenfunctions of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems and intensity of Bragg peaks*, Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009), pp. 225–258.
13. Y.-C. Li, R. Sato, and S.-Y. Shaw, *Convergence theorems and Tauberian theorems for functions and sequences in Banach spaces and Banach lattices*, Israel J. Math. 162 (2007), pp. 109–149.
14. R. J. Nagel, *Mittelergodische Halbgruppen linearer Operatoren*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 23 (1973), pp. 75–87.
15. A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, vol. 29 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
16. E. A. Robinson, Jr., *On uniform convergence in the Wiener-Wintner theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 49 (1994), pp. 493–501.
17. S. I. Santos and C. Walkden, *Topological Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems via non-abelian Lie group extensions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 27 (2007), pp. 1633–1650.
18. R. Satō, *On abstract mean ergodic theorems*, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), pp. 575–581.
19. P. Walters, *Topological Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems and a random L^2 ergodic theorem*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), pp. 179–206.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

E-mail address: `masc@fa.uni-tuebingen.de`